

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.6241 of 2016
Date of decision:13.7.2016**

Raj Kumar Gupta and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr.Akshay Kumar Jindal, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr.Sandeep S.Mann, Sr.DAG, Haryana.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Written statement on behalf of respondents No. 1 and 2 filed in the Court today is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to the learned counsel for the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the State submits that since needful has already been done, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous and may be disposed of, as such.

Learned counsel for the petitioners also does not deny the factual aspect of the statement given by the learned counsel for the State, which is based on the averments taken in the written statement.

In view of the above, the instant writ petition is disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

**13.7.2016
mks**

**(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE**