

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.6236 of 2016
Date of decision:25.7.2016**

Parmod Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr.P.K.Rohilla, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.Sandeep S.Mann, Sr.DAG, Haryana.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Short reply filed on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3 in the Court today is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to the counsel opposite.

Learned counsel for the State, while referring to the averments taken in para 4 of the reply, submits that since the financial assistance of Rs.1 lac has already been paid to the petitioner as per Annexure R-1 dated 19.7.2016, the instant writ petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may be disposed of, as such.

The factual aspect of the statement made by the learned counsel for the State could not be denied by the learned counsel for the petitioner and rightly so, it being a matter of record.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is ordered to be disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of, accordingly.

**25.7.2016
mks**

**(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No