IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.114

CWP No.615 of 2016
Date of decision: 13.01.2016

Harjit Kaur ....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others ....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner was selected as a Post Graduate Teacher in Punjabi but in spite of such selection was not permitted to join and the reason for the same was because the respondents had a doubt with regard to the experience certificates submitted by the petitioner. In this regard, a show cause notice dated 21.06.2014 (Annexure P-5) was issued to the petitioner and on receiving her response to the same, the comments of respondent No.3- Adarsh Senior Secondary School, Jind where the petitioner had allegedly served were also sought and got by the respondents.

After considering the entire matter, another show cause notice dated 04.09.2015 (Annexure P-12) was served upon the petitioner through which the comments of the petitioner were sought. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has responded to the notice dated 04.09.2015 but till date no final decision has been taken thereupon.

JYOTI SHARMA
2016.01.18 17:15
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

In view of the above facts, learned counsel for the petitioner, at this stage, prays that respondents No.1 and 2 be directed to take a final decision on the notices dated 21.06.2014 (Annexure P-5) and 04.09.2015 (Annexre P-12), to which the petitioner has already submitted her responses.

I find the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner to be reasonable.

Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.1 and 2 to take a final decision on the notices dated 21.06.2014 (Annexure P-5) and 04.09.2015 (Annexre P-12) after considering the responses, submitted by the petitioner, by passing a speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioner and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioner, she would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

January 13, 2016
Jyoti 1

JYOTI SHARMA
2016.01.18 17:15
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document