

CWP No.6958-2015

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.6958-2015

Date of Decision: 22.03.2016

Kamaljit Mehta

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH
DHALIWAL**

- 1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?.
- 2) To be referred to the Reporters or not ?.
- 3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Ms. Rajwinder Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Tribhawan Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.M.Vinayak, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vinish Singla, Advocate,
for respondent No.3.

Ms. Manjeet Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Karanjit Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No.7.

Ms. Sonia G. Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No.8.

Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for respondent No.3 states that terms of
respondent Nos.7 and 8 have expired on 11.03.2016.

In view of above, instant petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

CWP No.6958-2015

If the statement of learned counsel for respondent No.3 is found to be incorrect, the petitioner will be at liberty to seek revival of the petition.

22.03.2016

parveen kumar

(Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal)
Judge