

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.6745 of 2015

Date of Decision: 11.7.2016

Sinder Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr.Sherry K.Singla, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr.Harish Rathee, Sr.DAG, Haryana

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

In view of the the averments made in paragraphs 3 and 4 of the written statement, I find no merit in the impugned order rejecting the case of the petitioner for compassionate appointment/employment under ex-gratia scheme and compassionate financial assistance as the case has not been found covered by paragraph 8 (D) of the Haryana Compassionate Assistance to the Dependent of Deceased Government Employee Rules, 2003. This rule prescribes that where spouse of the deceased is already in government service, no other dependent member of the family shall be eligible for appointment or ex-gratia compassionate financial assistance. The petitioner is serving in the Education Department, Haryana. However, Haryana Government has paid benefits such as financial assistance, GIS, GPF etc. The petitioner's case for family pension is held up for want of correction on the

application form which Mr.Rathee, learned counsel for the State assures this Court that in case the needful has not been done, the concerned department will do within reasonable time. No ground for interference is made out, except to record the assurance.

Dismissed.

11.7.2016
MFK

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE