

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No.5841 of 2016

Date of decision: 30.3.2016

Satwant Singh and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr.R.K.Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners, makes a statement that this case is on all fours of the decision delivered in CWP No.24782 of 2015 titled as **Gurmail Singh v. State of Punjab & another**, which stands allowed on 28th November, 2015, relating to the same post in the same department. The short ground which carried successfully in accepting the identical prayer in Gurmail Singh's case was that remarks recorded in the Annual Confidential Reports and grading given to an official has nothing to do with pay revision benefits and enhanced salaries of staff based on work performed. A copy of the judgment delivered in the aforesaid case is attached as Annexure P-11/Pg.53. Notice of motion. On the asking of

the Court, Mr. Inqulab Nagapl, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice. Copy of the

paper-book has been handed over to Mr. Nagpal in the Court itself by the opposing counsel. He has perused it. The State can offer no valid and legal resistance to the petition in view of the previous judgment on the point relied on by Mr. Arora covering the case.

Consequently, the present petition is allowed in the same terms as in Gurmail Singh's case supra.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

March 30, 2016

Paritosh Kumar