

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Gurpreet Kaur
2016.11.17 10:41
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CWP No.6575 of 2015

Date of Decision: 15.11.2016

Harpal Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. N.S. Dandiwal, Advocate
for Mr. G.S. Chahal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Avinit Avasthi, A.A.G., Punjab
for the respondent-State.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the respondent-State has supplied copy of order dated 01.11.2016 which shows that the necessary relief has been granted to the petitioner and also submits that the present petition has become infructuous.

In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the respondent-State, nothing survives in the petition as necessary relief has been granted to the petitioner.

Dismissed as such.

In case, anything is found contrary to the statement made by learned counsel for the respondent-State, the petitioner is at liberty to move an application for revival of the order.

15.11.2016
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No