

*IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB  
AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.*

CWP Nos. 572 of 2016 [O&M].  
Date of Decision:09<sup>th</sup> May, 2016.

M/s Shri Lakshmi Steels      Petitioner  
Versus  
Union of India & Ors.      Respondents

\*\*\*

PRESENT: Mr. Puneet Bali, Sr. Advocate with  
Mr. Saurabh Kapoor and Paramveer Singh, Advocates,  
for the petitioner  
Mr. Prateek Gupta, Advocate, for UOI  
Mr. Satish Aggarwala, Advocate and  
Mr. Sunish Bindlish, Advocate, for DRI  
Mr. Deepak Sharma, Advocate,  
for respondents No. 2 and 3 .  
Mr. Ashim Aggarwal, Advocate, for Mumbai Port Trust.  
Mr. Rajinder Goyal, Advocate, for respondents No. 8 & 9.  
Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, Advocate,  
for respondents No. 12 & 13.

\*\*

***CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B.CHAUDHARI***

\*\*\*

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?***
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?***
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?***

\*\*\*

**SURYA KANT, J. [ORAL]**

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in the light of subsequent events, it may be permitted to withdraw this case with liberty to file a fresh writ petition.

At this, Mr. Satish Aggarwala and other learned counsel representing DRI, Custom and Mumbai Port Trust state that the petitioner has failed to honour the undertaking given before this Court in the previous order[s].

Be that as it may, in view of the prayer made by counsel for the petitioner, we dismiss the writ petition as withdrawn with liberty to file fresh one on the same cause of action.

Learned counsel for the Mumbai Port Trust very fairly states that the detained goods, de-stuffed or lying in the containers, shall not be put to auction for one week.

Dasti.

**( SURYA KANT )  
JUDGE**

**May 09, 2016.  
dinesh**

**( A.B.CHAUDHARI )  
JUDGE**