

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.125

CWP No.5682 of 2016

Date of decision: 28.03.2016

Lilu Ram

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage, he would be satisfied if the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take a decision on the legal notice dated 19.02.2016 (Annexure P-13), submitted by the petitioner.

I find the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner to be reasonable.

Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case as also on the issue of limitation which may arise in this case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take a decision on the legal notice dated 19.02.2016 (Annexure P-13), submitted by the petitioner, by passing a speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioner and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioner, he would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

March 28, 2016

Jyoti 1