

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CM No.9814-CWP of 2016 in/and
CWP No.5680 of 2016
Date of decision: 22.08.2016**

Tenzin D Wangmo Bhutia

... Petitioner

Vs.

Union of India and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Aman Dhir, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate
for respondents No.1 & 2.

Mr. Indresh Goel, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

CM No.9814-CWP of 2016

Applicant seeks preponement of the date of hearing.

Notice of the application to the counsel opposite.

Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 & 2 and Mr. Indresh Goel, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.3 and fairly state that they have got no objection in case the date of hearing is preponed from 23.11.2016 to today.

In view of the above, instant application is allowed and the date of hearing is preponed from 23.11.2016 to today.

CM stands disposed of.

CWP No.5680 of 2016

With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, case is taken up on board for final disposal.

Learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that petitioner is seeking only a writ in the nature of mandamus for issuance of directions to the respondent authorities to issue passport to the petitioner at an early date. Learned counsel for the parties are also agreeable that the issue involved in the present writ petition would be squarely covered in favour of the petitioner by a judgment dated 22.12.2010 rendered by Delhi High Court in **Writ Petition (C) No.12179 of 2009 (Namgyal Dolkar Vs. Government of India, Ministry of External Affairs)**. It is also not in dispute that the respondent authorities are seized of the matter.

In view of the abovesaid undisputed fact situation obtaining on the record, present writ petition is disposed of, with a direction to the Regional Passport Officer, UT, Chandigarh-respondent No.2 to consider the grievance of the petitioner for issuance of passport at an early date, in terms of the order dated 22.12.2010 passed by Delhi High Court in **Namgyal Dolkar's** case (supra), by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law but in any case within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

With the abovesaid observations made and directions issued, present writ petition stands disposed of.

22.08.2016
vishnu

[**RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK**]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No