

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**Date of decision: 28.03.2016**

**CWP No.5666 of 2016**

Smt. Kanta Devi

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA**

- 1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present: Mr. J.K.Singla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

**RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)**

A legal notice has been served on the respondent-department under section 80 CPC dated 14<sup>th</sup> October, 2015 (Annex P-5). Accordingly, the petitioner has elected his path of seeking recourse to remedy before the Civil Court and is therefore free to institute a suit for recovery of money. The petition is dismissed with liberty to resort to the Civil Court remedy which is a wholesome alternative remedy available to the petitioner.

The request for withdrawal of the petition at this stage is rejected.

**28.03.2016**  
Vimal

**[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]  
JUDGE**