

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.5655 of 2016
Date of Decision: March 28, 2016

Raj KumarPetitioner

versus

Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench and others
.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI.

Present: Mr.Arvind Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr.Praveen Chander Goyal, Advocate
for the respondent-caveator.

-. -

1. *Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has laid challenge to the order dated 15.01.2016 passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench, whereby his three Original Applications questioning (i) the order dated 16.05.2007 for delegation of powers; (ii) charge-sheet dated 08.05.2009 and (iii) the punishment order dated 06.05.2009 have been dismissed.

It is not in dispute that against the punishment order, the petitioner filed a departmental appeal which was partly dismissed by the Appellate Authority vide order dated 28.08.2015 (P-35) as the Appellate Authority has reduced the penalty of dismissal from service to the penalty of compulsory retirement from service.

The above-stated Appellate order has not been challenged by the petitioner before the Tribunal. In this view of the matter, we dismiss the instant writ petition and relegate the petitioner to the equally efficacious remedy available before the Tribunal against the Appellate order, also with liberty to raise the additional issues taken up by him in this writ petition, if need be.

Ordered accordingly.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

March 28, 2016
mohinder

[P.B.BAJANTHRI]
JUDGE