

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 8598 of 2013
Date of decision: 28.03.2016

Chennai Network Infrastructure Limited

....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH
DHALIWAL**

Present: Mr. G.S. Attariwala, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Mohnish Sharma, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for quashing the order dated 09.11.2012 (Annexure P-5), whereby respondent No. 2 has rejected the application/licence of the petitioner-Company. Further direction has been sought for restoring the licence of the petitioner-Company.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the only grievance of the petitioner-company is that the petitioner has not been afforded any opportunity of personal hearing before passing order dated 09.11.2012 (Annexure P-5).

Learned counsel for respondent No. 2 states that fresh order will be passed, after affording due opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner-company.

In view of the stand taken by learned counsel for respondent No. 2, the order dated 09.11.2012 (Annexure P-5), which has been passed at the back of the petitioner is set-aside and respondent No. 2 is directed to pass fresh order, after affording due opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, within one month after appearance of the petitioner. The petitioner is directed to appear before respondent No.2 on 11.04.2016.

Interim order granted vide order dated 19.12.2014 shall remain in operation till passing of the order by respondent No.2.

Disposed of.

28.03.2016
PA

(Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal)
Judge