

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.5559 of 2016
Date of Decision:-22.3.2016.

Shalu Chawla

.....Petitioner

Versus

The Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI**

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Amit Chopra, Advocate for the petitioner.

SURYA KANT, J. (ORAL)

This writ petition is directed against a simpliciter order of adjournment passed by Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench, claiming that the order amounts to implied rejection of petitioner's prayer for *ad interim* stay.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

Since it is averred that the petitioner has not been relieved so far and is still holding the post of S.S. Mistress, we dispose of this writ petition with a direction that she may be allowed to continue in service till 27.4.2016 i.e. the date when the matter is listed before the Tribunal for further hearing. The petitioner shall be at liberty to seek further extension of *ad interim* stay from the Tribunal.

Dasti.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

March 22, 2016.

sandeep sethi