

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.553 of 2016
Decided on : 13.01.2016**

Tripita Rani

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & another

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present : Mr.Ashok Bhardwaj, Advocate, for the petitioner.

G.S. Sandhawalia , J. (Oral)

Challenge in the present writ petition is to relaxation of teaching in English in B.Ed., to those candidates who had passed M.A. with B.Ed., by corrigendum dated 31.08.2007 and 27.02.2008. Further prayer has been made that marks be not granted on the basis of higher qualifications to the said candidates and to make recruitment on the basis of the qualifications mentioned in the advertisement. The merit list made by the respondents, on the basis of sex, being *ultra vires*, is accordingly, challenged.

Admittedly, a period of more than 8 years has passed when the said exercise was done. The present writ petition, now, filed at the belated stage, seeking to upset the appointments to as many as 100 private respondents, cannot be entertained. If the petitioner had any grouse, he could have approached this Court as

and when the corrigendum was issued, within reasonable time.

It is settled principle that the bar of limitation is an important issue and settled rights of parties cannot be sought to be displaced and the same cannot be challenged after an inordinate period of delay. Mere pendency of another writ petition, which was filed 6 years earlier, would not give a fresh cause of action to the petitioner, who has let the cause of action, in his case, slip away.

Accordingly, finding no merit in the present writ petition, the same is, hereby, dismissed, in limine.

JANUARY 13, 2016
sailesh

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE