

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.5507 of 2016

Date of Decision: 22.03.2016

Amandeep Sharma

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Mohd. Yousaf, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.

The petitioner claims that he was appointed by the 3rd respondent, a private security agency to be the Legal Advisor to Pepsu Road Transport Corporation, which agency is not amenable to writ jurisdiction qualifying as “State” or “other authority” within the meaning of Articles 12 & 226 of the Constitution of India.

The petition both misconceived and not maintainable and is, therefore, dismissed.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

22.03.2016
manju