

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.632 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 21.01.2016

Ranjit Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. L.S. Sandhu, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Addl. AG, Punjab.

Mr. Anupam Singla, Advocate,
for R-3.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.

The petitioners have placed reliance on the decision of the learned Single Judge in CWP No.12732 of 2012 and connected matters decided on September 04, 2015 with a direction to the decision-maker in the respondents to pass a reasoned order disclosing the process of reasoning adopted.

Learned counsel appearing for R-3 states that the orders passed in the aforesaid writ petition have been complied with and a speaking order has been passed declining the claim of the petitioners therein.

The present petitioners are similarly circumstanced as their counter-parts in the aforesaid petition and, therefore, the decision taken by

the Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan Authority will operate in the present case.

In the circumstances, the petitioners can take no advantage of the orders passed on September 04, 2015 in the aforesaid writ petition which expresses no opinion on the merits of the case.

It will be open to the petitioners to challenge the order passed in the wake of the orders passed by this Court in CWP No.12732 of 2012 and connected matters as though those were the impugned order in the present case. They are free to challenge in separate proceedings those orders as though it were passed in the petitioners case.

The petition stands disposed of.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

21.01.2016
manju