

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P No. 8477 of 2013

DATE OF DECISION: 29.07.2016

Shri Chand

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. PPS Duggall, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Avinit Avasthi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Hemender Goswami, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

Mr. S.K. Arora, Advocate
for respondent No. 5.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

The stand of the petitioner is that his services were regularized and thereafter without giving any opportunity or notice, he was removed from service, whereas, learned counsel for respondent-State submits that order Annexure P-5 was never issued by the Department.

Disputed questions on fact are involved in the present case and same cannot be considered in the writ petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays that he may be permitted to withdraw this petition with liberty to avail appropriate remedy.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

July 29, 2016
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No