

112

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.545 of 2016

Date of decision: January 13, 2016

Gurmail Chand

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. H.P.S. Ghuman, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SABINA, J

Petitioner has filed this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents not to withhold his pensionary benefits.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that due to inadvertence/typographical error, in memo of parties, respondent No.3 has been described as Commissioner, Central Excise Commissionerate-I, Chandigarh, whereas, it should have been Commissioner, Central Excise Commissionerate-II, Chandigarh. Let necessary correction be made in the memo of parties.

Learned counsel has further submitted that for redressal of his grievance, petitioner has moved representations Annexures P-7 and P-8 to respondent No.3, but no action has been taken on the same so far.

Accordingly, without adverting to the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of, with a direction to respondent No.3 to dispose of the representations Annexure P-7 and P-8 moved by the petitioner for redressal of his grievance by passing a speaking order expeditiously preferably within two months of the receipt of certified copy of this order.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

January 13, 2016
mahavir