

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

C.W.P. No. 5404 of 2016
Date of Decision: March 21, 2016

Anil Grover

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH
DHALIWAL**

Present: Mr. Mahavir Sandhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal, J. (Oral)

Instant writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent no. 2 to decide the representation of the petitioner dated 05.02.2016 (Annexure P/2).

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that petitioner has already made a representation dated 05.02.2016 (Annexure P/2) to respondent no.2 – Director, Haryana Urban Local Bodies Department, but no decision has been taken thereon. Learned counsel further states that he will be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent no.2 – Director, Haryana Urban Local Bodies Department to decide the representation (Annexure P/2) within a stipulated period.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and after perusing the paper-book, however, without commenting on merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of, without issuing notice to the respondents, with a direction to respondent No.2 – Director, Haryana Urban

Local Bodies Department, to take a decision on the representation (Annexure P/2) of the petitioner, in accordance with law, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and copy of the order so passed shall be communicated forthwith to the petitioner.

March 21, 2016
vkd

[Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal]
Judge