

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.5336 of 2016

Date of Decision: 21.03.2016

Suresh Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Vishal Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

A legal notice has been served on the respondent-department under section 80 CPC dated August 17, 2015 (P-4). Accordingly, the petitioner has elected his path of seeking recourse to remedy before the Civil Court and is therefore free to institute a suit for recovery of money.

The petition is dismissed with liberty to resort to the Civil Court remedy which is a wholesome alternative remedy available to the petitioner.

The request for withdrawal of the petition at this stage is rejected.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

21.03.2016
manju