

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No. 5193 of 2016 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 16.05.2016

Janak Raj Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

Food Corporation of India and others

..Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J.VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE.  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI.**

**Present :** Mr. Sanjiv Bansal, Advocate, for the petitioner.  
Mr. J.S.Puri, Advocate, for respondent Nos.1 and 2.  
Mr. HPS Bhinder, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

\*\*\*\*\*

**S.J.VAZIFDAR A.C.J. (Oral)**

Respondent No.1 has tendered an order dated 12.05.2016 stating that the petitioner's bid has been found to be non-responsive on the ground that he had not mentioned the period for which his offer was kept open for acceptance as required by paragraph-3 of Appendix-1.

2. This petition is, therefore, liable to be dismissed as not maintainable for the petitioner himself has been held to be ineligible. It must, however, be noted that the said order dated 12.05.2016 states that for the above reasons even the bid of respondent No.3 is not responsive as the work order has already been issued. The first respondent would follow the procedure to cancel the work order and will not allot the work order till a decision in that regard is taken.

3. The petition is, therefore, dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to challenge the order dated 12.05.2016.

(S.J.VAZIFDAR)  
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

16.05.2016

'ravinder'

(ARUN PALLI)  
JUDGE