

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 4968 of 2016
Decided on : 16.11.2016**

M/s United Steel Industries

... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and another

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN**

PRESENT: Mr. Anshul Mangla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karminder Singh, Advocate
for the respondents.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

By way of present writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has approached this Court, seeking direction to the respondents, who have, despite declaring the petitioner to be the highest bidder on the opening date, neither communicated their rejection nor acceptance to the petitioner, even otherwise, during the currency of the offer made by the petitioner, sold a substantial quantity of the material (subject-matter of tender) through auction.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents states that the tender in pursuance to which the bids were invited has since been cancelled and thereafter, a fresh tender was floated and in pursuance to the fresh tender, the goods have been sold.

3. In such a situation, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition.

4. Dismissed as withdrawn.

**(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

November 16, 2016

J.Ram

*Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:*

*Yes/No
Yes/No*