

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

C.W.P. No. 4855 of 2016
Date of Decision: March 14, 2016

M/s Vinod Cotton Corporation

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH
DHALIWAL**

Present: Mr. Amar Vivek, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal, J. (Oral)

Instant writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents no.1 to 3 to further direct respondent no.4, who is illegally and arbitrarily interfering in the functioning of the petitioner and helping respondent no.5, not to interfere in the functioning of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that respondent no.4 is interfering in the functioning of the petitioner at the instance of respondent no.5. Learned counsel further submits that petitioner has already made a representation to respondent no.3 – Senior Superintendent of Police, S.A.S. Nagar.

At the outset, the matter apparently appears to be of a civil nature because of the contractual obligation between the petitioner and the respondent no.5.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and after perusal of the record, instant petition is disposed of with a direction that

respondent no.3 – Senior Superintendent of Police, S.A.S. Nagar shall ensure that respondent no.4 does not proceed illegally or arbitrarily. Respondent no.3 shall also ensure that no situation against law and order is created by the respondents. So far as the contractual obligation is concerned, the same shall be determined by the civil court or by arbitration, if any.

March 14, 2016
vkd

[Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal]
Judge