

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

115

CWP No. 4848 of 2016
Date of decision: 15.03.2016

Gurcharan Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

Deputy Commissioner, Jalandhar and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH DHALIWAL

Present: Mr. Bhriugu Dutt Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal, J. (Oral)

It is specific case of the respondent that Jeet Singh was in legal possession of Khasra No. 310/302 and the ownership of this Khasra is not in dispute. The petitioner claims to be owner in possession of the property in dispute, having purchased it vide sale deed Ex. R-1.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner at considerable length, he was asked to show document Ex. R-1 but he has failed to show the same. However, one document, alleged to be a conveyance deed has been shown. No khasra number is mentioned in it. The same is not placed on file. Earlier the property in dispute was in the name of Hydro Electric Company, which has subsequently been renamed as Punjab State Electricity Board and further as Punjab State Power Corporation Limited.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for permission to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file fresh one, after annexing relevant documents, including the order vide which the petitioner has been ordered to be impleaded as party as originally he was not shown as party in the petition for eviction filed by respondents No. 3 to 5, which was only against Jeet Singh.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

15.03.2016

PA

**(Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal)
Judge**