

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.4779 of 2016

Date of Decision:-14.3.2016.

All India Crime Preventing Society (Regd.)

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI**

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. P.S. Punia, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Harveen Kaur, Advocate for the petitioner.

SURYA KANT, J. (ORAL)

1.) The petitioner-Society had earlier approached this Court, purportedly in public interest, through **CWP No.6246 of 2015** in which a direction was sought to the States of Punjab, Haryana and Union Territory, Chandigarh as well as the Education Boards of Central/State Governments to take appropriate action against the privately managed schools who were allegedly indulging in 'commercialization' by selling books, stationery and uniform to their students.

2.) The said petition was disposed of on 6.4.2015 with the following directions:-

"In the light of these facts and circumstances, we are not inclined to entertain this Public Interest Litigation, at this stage. Suffice it would be to observe that if the petitioner-society comes to

know the adoption of alleged malpractices by a particular institute(s), it may approach the authorities concerned with relevant and cogent material. We have no reason to doubt that the authorities shall, in furtherance of their duty, take suitable and timely action as may be required in accordance with law.”

3.) In this second petition filed on the same cause of action, the petitioner-Society claims that in furtherance to the liberty granted by this Court, it approached various Authorities vide representations-cum-complaints Annexures P-23 to P-29 including respondents No.1 to 5. It is further alleged that no action has been taken on these representations-cum-complaints.

4.) We, thus, dispose of this writ petition, without expressing any views on the claim made by the petitioner-Society with a direction to respondent Nos.2 to 8 to ascertain the correctness of allegations made in the above mentioned representations and take appropriate action, if it is found that any institution recognized and/or affiliated with them has acted contrary to the Statutory Regulations, terms and conditions of affiliation/recognition and/or in violation of any other laws/bye-laws. The appropriate action shall be taken within a period within a period of six months, in accordance with principles of natural justice.

(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

March 14, 2016.

sandeep sethi