

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP no. 4778 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision :14.03.2016

Girishika Singla

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

HON'BLE MR JUSTICE GURMIT RAM

Present : Mr.Vikram Bali, Advocate for the petitioner

MAHESH GROVER, J.

The petitioner has prayed for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to interview her as the earlier viva voce was not conducted satisfactorily.

Learned counsel for the petitioner refers to few selected candidates whose particulars have been given in para 8 of the petition to contend that one Himani Gill who got 454 marks in written examination was awarded 120 marks in interview and she was selected while Gurpreet Singh who got 486 marks in the written was given only 60 marks and was declared not selected. Similarly, more instances of this kind have been given. Probably the petitioner wants to rake up the issue of an unfair selection where persons having lesser marks in written examination have been given more marks in the interview to enable them to

necessarily indicate a pattern and in any case no mala fides have been levelled against any of the members of the Selection Committee nor has any bias been shown and neither it has been demonstrated from the particulars of the selected candidates which would suggest nepotism of any sort. In the absence of all this, the Court would lean significantly towards a conclusion of a valid selection. Apart from this the petition suffers from a grave infirmity as the petitioner while questioning the selection has not impleaded the selected candidates as necessary parties nor has she impleaded the members of the Selection Committee if motives are to be imputed to them.

Dismissed.

(Mahesh Grover)
Judge

14.03.2016
rekha

(Gurmit Ram)
Judge