

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.4747 of 2016
Date of Decision:- 26.4.2016.

Nagesh Sisodia

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Ferry Sofat, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Indresh Goyal, Advocate for the respondents.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J.

1.) In the instant writ petition, the petitioner is seeking a direction to the respondents to give him an opportunity to appear in an interview as he could not attend the same on 1.3.2016 due to ill-health.

2.) The petitioner was candidate for the Combined Graduate Examination followed by selection and appointment to the post mentioned in Annexure P-1. The petitioner is stated to be successful in written examination and he was called for interview on 1.3.2016 vide Annexure P-2. The petitioner could not attend the interview on 1.3.2016 as he was admitted LTB Police Hospital, Lucknow on 28.2.2016 as an in-patient till 1.3.2016. The petitioner directly

presented this petition on 8.3.2016 seeking a direction to the respondents to interview him on subsequent date. This Court issued notice of motion on 11.3.2016 for 16.3.2016. Interviews were held upto 15.3.2016. The petitioner is stated to have submitted *dasti* service of notice on the respondents on 14.3.2016. The respondents should have taken sympathetic consideration of the petitioner's plea that he could not attend the interview on 1.3.2016 as it was beyond his control for the reasons that he was admitted in hospital for treatment and called for interview on 15.3.2016 pursuant to information by the petitioner as and when *dasti* service was made. Therefore, the respondents are directed to consider sympathetically by constituting Interview Committee and to hold an interview to the petitioner by fixing a date. The petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of ₹ 10,000/- as a cost of the appearance of the respondents before this Court as well as for holding interview to the petitioner.

3.) Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of.

4.) The respondents are directed to complete the interview within a period of two months.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

April 26, 2016.

sandeep sethi