

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.208

CWP No.7741 of 2013

Date of decision: 25.02.2016

Vijay Singh

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. K.K. Chahal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Senior DAG, Haryana.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

In pursuance to advertisement dated 07.06.2012, the petitioner had applied for consideration of his candidature for appointment as a Post Graduate Teacher in Hindi. Finding the petitioner to be ineligible, the same has been rejected. Challenging such rejection, the petitioner had filed the present petition.

On April 10, 2013, while issuing notice of motion, this Court had directed that the petitioner be provisionally interviewed for the post of PGT (Hindi) but his result be not declared without the leave of this Court.

On February 01, 2016, this Court had directed that the result of the petitioner be produced in a sealed cover and the Court be also informed with regard to the marks obtained by the last selected and appointed candidate in the category to which the petitioner belongs.

As directed, learned State counsel has produced the result of the petitioner in a sealed cover, which on perusal shows that the petitioner has secured 36.44 marks as against the score of 56.01 which has been obtained by the last candidate selected and appointed to the post of PGT (Hindi) in the General Category i.e. the category to which the petitioner belongs.

In view of the aforesaid position, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

The result of the petitioner be resealed and handed over to the learned State counsel.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

February 25, 2016
Jyoti 1