

222 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

**CWP No.5541 of 2015 (O&M)**  
**Date of decision : November 29, 2016**

# Rajender Parsad Saini

..... **Petitioner**

## Versus

**The Haryana State Co-operative Supply & Marketing Federation Limited and another ..... Respondent**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

**Present:-** Mr. Naveen Daryal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Dhir, Advocate for the respondents.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

**KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)**

Heard.

It comes out that the petitioner has challenged the charge-sheet dated 18.10.2011 (Annexure P-1), which was issued before the retirement of the petitioner.

It also comes out that charge-sheet is still pending and it is has not been decided and the amount of gratuity of the petitioner has been withheld on account of pendency of the departmental proceedings, vide order dated 23.01.2014 (Annexure P-7).

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner will be satisfied if respondents are directed to conclude the departmental proceedings initiated against him within the specified period with the undertaking that he will cooperate in the early conclusion of the departmental proceedings.

It being so, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to conclude the departmental proceedings initiated against the petitioner within six months from today, if already not concluded and pass the final order.

Needless to say that if an adverse order is passed, the petitioner is at liberty to challenge the same.

(KULDIP SINGH)  
JUDGE

November 29, 2016

sarita

|                             |     |
|-----------------------------|-----|
| Whether speaking / reasoned | Yes |
| Whether Reportable:         | No  |