

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 551 of 2015(O&M)
Date of Decision : 12.07.2016**

Mandeep Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

- 1) *Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2) *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3) *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present: Mr. SS. Kainth, Advocate for
Mr. B.S. Pathania, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Parminder Singh, Senior Panel counsel
for Union of India.

Mr. Jagdeep Jaswal, Advocate for
Mr. D.R. Sharma, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

P.B. Bajanthri, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that during pendency of the petition, certain developments have taken in the matter of selection/appointment, which is in favour of the petitioner.

In view of the aforesaid statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition do not survive for consideration and has become infructuous.

Accordingly, petition stands dismissed as having become infructuous.

July 12, 2016.
kanchan

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**