

*IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB
AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.*

CWP No. 4648 of 2016[O&M].
Date of Decision:09th May, 2016.

M/s Inder International Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Ors. Respondents

PRESENT: Mr. Akshay Bhan, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Alok Mittal, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Prateek Gupta, Advocate, for UOI
Mr. Satish Aggarwala, Advocate and
Mr. Sunish Bindlish, Advocate, for DRI
Mr. Deepak Sharma, Advocate,
for respondents No. 2 and 3
Mr. Ashim Aggarwal, Advocate, for respondent NO.9
Mr. Rajinder Goyal, Advocate, for respondents No. 8 & 9
Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, Advocate,
for respondents No. 12 & 13.
Mr. Amardeep Sheoran, Advocate,
for respondent No. 15.

**

**CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B.CHAUDHARI**

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

SURYA KANT, J. [ORAL]

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in the light of subsequent events, it may be permitted to withdraw this case with liberty to file a fresh writ petition.

At this, Mr. Satish Aggarwala and other learned counsel representing DRI, Custom and Mumbai Port Trust state that the petitioner has failed to honour the undertaking given before this Court in the previous order[s].

Be that as it may, in view of the prayer made by counsel for the petitioner, we dismiss the writ petition as withdrawn with liberty to file fresh one on the same cause of action.

Learned counsel for the Mumbai Port Trust very fairly states that the detained goods, de-stuffed or lying in the containers, shall not be put to auction for one week.

Dasti.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

**May 09, 2016.
dinesh**

**(A.B.CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE**