

212

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.5427 of 2015

Date of decision: May 17, 2016

Satbir Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Kirti Singh, DAG Haryana.

Mr. Mohan Singh Rana, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

SURYA KANT, J. (Oral)

The petitioners have through this writ petition filed in the year 2015, chosen to challenge the orders dated 20.02.2002, 04.11.2004 and 11.02.2005. There is thus a delay of more than ten years in filing this petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioners however, states that there is sufficient material to justify the delay and has placed on record affidavit of petitioner No.1.

We have gone through the contents of the affidavit. Merely because some other writ petition filed in the year 2005 is pending in this Court, is no valid ground to overlook the inordinate delay and laches of

more than ten years in filing the instant writ petition laying challenge quasi
judicial orders.

Dismissed on this ground alone.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

[A.B. CHAUDHARI]
JUDGE

May 17, 2016
mahavir