

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.4577 of 2016
Date of Decision:-10.3.2016.

Buta Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI**

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Munish Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

SURYA KANT, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is said to have made a complaint against the Sarpanch of Gram Panchayat of his village alleging illegal felling of trees which were standing on the Gram Panchayat land. It does appear from some of the communications including dated 7.1.2015 (P-2) that District Development and Panchayat Officer, Jalandhar asked the Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Jalandhar to hold a fact finding inquiry.

2.) Since the official respondents have taken cognizance of the complaint, we decline to entertain the writ petition and dispose of the same with a direction to the District Development and Panchayat Officer, Jalandhar to conclude the pending inquiry, if any, and take

the matter to its logical conclusion within a period of three months.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

March 10, 2016.

sandeep sethi