

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.4539 of 2016
Date of Decision:-9.3.2016.

Vijay Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI**

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: None.

SURYA KANT, J. (ORAL)

1.) The petitioner alleges that private respondents No.7 to 11 have encroached upon the Gram Panchayat land comprising the village pond where some construction is said to have been raised by them. It is in this backdrop that the petitioner seeks a direction to the official respondents to initiate appropriate action, in accordance with law.

2.) Having gone through the averments made in the writ petition but keeping in view the fact that we have not called upon the private respondents at this stage and allegations made against them

cannot be entertained but having regard to the settled legal position and the fact that the Gram Panchayat land like pond cannot be allowed to be encroached upon, we dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the Deputy Commissioner, Ropar and BDPO, Anandpur Sahib to verify the allegations made by the petitioner and take an appropriate action in accordance with law. If any eviction order has been passed against the encroachers and the same has attained finality and there is no other legal impediment against its execution, the District Administration is directed to execute the same for which the police assistance, if need be, shall also be availed.

3.) The needful shall be done within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

March 9, 2016.

sandeep sethi