

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P No. 6518 of 2014

DATE OF DECISION:21.12.2016

Jyotsana Sharma

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Rajeev Anand, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Avinit Avasthi, AAG, Punjab.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for respondent-State has filed an additional affidavit of Superintendent of Police (Hqrs.) Bathinda in Court today and the same is taken on record.

Learned State counsel on the basis of affidavit submits that pay of the petitioner has been fixed and he has been given the rank of ASI.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that arrears have not been paid.

Keeping in view the submission made by learned counsel for the respondent-State, the present petition is disposed of.

However, the petitioner is at liberty to make representation to the respondents.

In case such representation is made by the petitioner, the respondents are directed to decide the same within a period of two months thereafter.

December 21, 2016
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No