

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

FAO-M-311 of 2011 (O&M)

Date of decision: 28.11.2016

Rajesh Sabharwal

... Appellant

Vs.

Ritu

... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M. JEYAPPAUL.
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.**

Present: Ms. Neha Jain, Advocate for
Mr. K.S. Dadwal, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. H.S. Saini, Advocate for the respondent.

...

M. JEYAPPAUL, J.

Both the parties along with their respective counsel are present during second motion. They reiterated their original stand. It appears that there was no change of mind during the past six long months. Petitioner No.2 have received a total amount of Rs.4.50 lacs towards full and final settlement of all claims from petitioner No.1. Both the parties have mutually withdrawn the cases laid against the other party and their relatives. They have been living separately for the past about nine years.

We are of the view that the averments in the joint petition filed by the parties are true. There was no possibility for them to live together as husband and wife. Therefore, a decree of divorce by mutual consent dissolving their marriage is passed.

Decree sheet be prepared.

**(M. JEYAPPAUL)
JUDGE**

28.11.2016

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

i) Whether speaking/reasoned? No

ii) Whether reportable? No