

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.4418 of 2016
Date of decision:04.03.2016

Dr. Dharambir Agnihotri and another ...Petitioners

Versus

Union of India and others ...Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain

Present: Mr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Raghav Gulati, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J. (Oral)

All the prayers made in this petition lead to an irresistible conclusion that the petitioners are asking for injunction in the writ jurisdiction for which they have an effective remedy of filing a civil suit for declaration and permanent injunction. Therefore, this Court would not exercise its extraordinary jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

Hence, the present petition is dismissed. However, the petitioners, if so advised, may avail their remedy of civil suit. In case the petitioners avail their remedy of civil suit, the Civil Court is directed to decide the suit expeditiously.

March 04, 2016
vinod*

(Rakesh Kumar Jain)
Judge