

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

111

**Civil Writ Petition No.4414 of 2016
Date of Decision:04.03.2016**

Amitabh Singh

....petitioner

Versus

The Recovery Officer, Debt Recovery Tribunal, Mumbai and others

.....respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE S.J.VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI**

Present: Mr.Rupinder Khosla, Senior Advocate with
Mr.Aman Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner

S.J.VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE (ORAL):

The petitioner seeks to annul the sale conducted by the Debt Recovery Tribunal (DRT)-respondent No.1 on the ground that the auction notice did not describe the location of the property correctly.

The auction notice stated that the property is situated in the State of Haryana whereas according to the petitioner, the property is situated in the State of Uttar Pradesh. The petitioner, therefore, seeks to annul the sale and also the refund of the amount paid by him.

The petitioner must, in the first instance, move such an application before the DRT, itself. The petition is accordingly

disposed of, with liberty to the petitioner to make an application before the DRT.

**(S.J. VAZIFDAR)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE**

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**

March 04, 2016
neenu