

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.4309 of 2016

Date of Decision: 28.11.2016

Dayanand

... Petitioner

VS.

State of Haryana & Ors.

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA**

- | | |
|--|-----------------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned? | <i>Yes / No</i> |
| 2. Whether reportable? | <i>Yes / No</i> |
| 3. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? | <i>Yes / No</i> |
| 4. To be referred to the Reporters or not? | <i>Yes / No</i> |
| 5. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? | <i>Yes / No</i> |

Present: Mr. Ajit Malik, Advocate for the petitioners

Mr. Ravi Dutt Sharma, DAG Haryana

SURYA KANT, J. (Oral)

(1) Learned counsel states that after passing the modified award, the petitioner has received the compensation, rendering this writ petition as infructuous.

(2) Disposed of accordingly.

**(Surya Kant)
Judge**

28.11.2016
vishal shonkar

**(Sudip Ahluwalia)
Judge**