

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.4295 of 2016

Date of decision: 17.12.2016

Supreet Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Punjab
for the respondents -State.

Daya Chaudhary, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel submits that the case of the petitioner has been considered and recommended for appointment whereas learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has joined his duties but he is entitled for seniority as well.

Since the case of the petitioner has been considered for appointment and he has joined his duties, nothing survives in the present writ petition.

Disposed of accordingly.

As far as the claim of the petitioner for seniority is concerned, the petitioner is at liberty to make representation to the authorities concerned.

17.12.2016
neetu

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

√
Yes/No

Whether Reportable

√
Yes/No