

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 29.02.2016

CWP No.4037 of 2016

Jaspal Singh & another

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. R.K.Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners, makes a statement that this case is on all fours of the decision delivered in CWP No.24782 of 2015 titled 'Gurmail Singh Vs. The State of Punjab & another', which stands allowed on 28th November, 2015, relating to the same post in the same department. The short ground which carried successfully in accepting the identical prayer in *Gurmail Singh's* case was that remarks recorded in the Annual Confidential Reports and grading given to an official has nothing to do with pay revision benefits and enhanced salaries of staff based on work performed. A copy of the judgment delivered in the aforesaid case is attached as Annex P-12/Pg.55.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Inqulab Nagapl, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice. Copy of the paper-book has been handed over to Mr. Nagpal in the Court itself by the opposing counsel. He has perused it. The State can offer

no valid and legal resistance to the petition in view of the previous judgment on the point relied on by Mr. Arora covering the case.

Consequently, the present petition is allowed in the same terms as in *Gurmail Singh's* case supra.

29.02.2016
Vimal

[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE