

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.4016 of 2016
Date of Decision: February 29, 2016

Rajpal Singh

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI.

Present: Mr.G.S.Jagpal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

..-

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The petitioner appears to have two-fold grievance in the instant writ petition. Firstly, he alleges collusion in the auctioning of Gram Panchayat land. The District Development and Panchayat Officer, Ludhiana after a fact-finding enquiry has rejected the allegations vide the impugned order.

Since allegations regarding fairness in the auction are disputed questions of facts, the same cannot be gone into in these writ proceedings. The petitioner may avail the alternative remedy in accordance with law. As regard to second grievance, namely, alleged non-refund of petitioner's lease amount alongwith compensation by the Gram Panchayat, we direct the District Development and Panchayat Officer, Ludhiana, to verify the petitioner's claim and issue appropriate directions to the Gram Panchayat, if need be, within a period

CWP No.4016 of 2016

[2]

of three months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order.

Ordered accordingly.

Dasti.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

February 29, 2016
mohinder

[P.B.BAJANTHRI]
JUDGE