

201

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**FAO No.776 of 2011 (O&M)**

**Date of decision: 22.07.2016**

Manju

....Appellant

Versus

Amitesh Kumar and another

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL**

**Present:** None for the appellant.

Mr. Rohit Goswami, Advocate  
for Mr. D.P. Gupta, Advocate  
for respondent No.2.

**REKHA MITTAL J. (Oral)**

The present appeal has been directed against dismissal of petition for grant of compensation.

The case is pending since 21.11.2014 for service of respondent No.1 – owner-cum-driver of the offending vehicle by way of substitute service i.e. publication in newspaper in Dainik Jagran. Therefore, it has been adjourned on several occasions in order to enable the appellant to deposit necessary charges for publication of notice.

On 28.04.2016, last opportunity was provided to the appellant for necessary compliance. The appellant not only failed to comply with the orders of this Court but even counsel representing her has not appeared in the proceedings.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

**(REKHA MITTAL)  
JUDGE**

**22.07.2016**

*yakub*