

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**FAO No.3385 of 2012(O&M)  
Date of Decision: 19.08.2016**

Pradeep Kumar Nara

... Appellant

Versus

Deepty Nara

... Respondent

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M. JEYAPPAUL**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA**

Present:- Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate,  
for the appellant.

Mr. Rajiv Godara, Advocate,  
for the respondent.

**M. JEYAPPAUL, J.(ORAL)**

Both the parties who are present in person, suffered their individual statement in second motion. It is found that first party has paid a sum of Rs.20 lacs during first motion and remaining sum of Rs.20 lacs towards permanent alimony in the shape of two demand drafts (Rs.10 lacs each) today to second party. Photocopy of the demand drafts has also been placed on record. First party has already withdrawn all the civil and criminal cases filed by him against second party and her family members. Second party has also undertaken to give no objection for quashing of the criminal complaint given by her under Sections 406 and 498-A of the Indian Penal Code. The averments made by both the parties in the joint petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act are found to be true. The parties to the marriage have been living separately for the past eight long years.

For all these reasons, we grant a decree of divorce by mutual consent by dissolving the marriage between the parties. Decree sheet be prepared accordingly.

The appeal stands disposed of.

(M. JEYAPPAUL)  
JUDGE

19.08.2016  
vandana

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)  
JUDGE

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable        | Yes/No |