

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDI GARH

CWP- 3983- 2016

Date of decision: - 29.02.2016

Smt. Dinesh Yadav

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Urban Development Authority, Panchkula and
others

... Respondents

COORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. J. VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present:- Mr. Neeraj Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * * *

S. J. VAZIFDAR, A. C. J. (ORAL)

The plot has been re-allotted in favour of the petitioner. In respect of the original plot litigation is pending. The petitioner, therefore, made an application for an alternate plot. The alternate plot having not been allotted to the petitioner, she addressed a representation (Annexure P-9) to the respondents on 14.09.2014. The petitioner has alleged that she was informed that the Estate Officer had sent the petitioner's case to the Administrator, HUDA for approval and that she was further informed that the Estate Officer would allot the plot after obtaining the said approval.

2. The writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondents to take a decision on the representation and if the petitioner is entitled to an alternate plot to do the needful in respect thereof by 30.06.2016.

(S. J. VAZIFDAR)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

29.02.2016