

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

FAO No. 7361 of 2011 (O&M)

Date of decision: February 8,2016

Iffco-Tokio General Insurance Co. Ltd.

..... Appellant

VS.

Gagandeep and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.KANNAN

Present: Mr.Raj Karan, Advocate
for the appellant.

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

K.KANNAN,J (Oral)

1. Finding that this is a case relating to assessment of compensation for just ₹ 60,000/- for the death of a man, who was at the age of 52 years, when there was a proof that the death was on account of motor accident, there is simply no justification for the insurer to come in appeal. When I pointed out to the injustice of such a frivolous attempt on the part of the insurer, the counsel says that the matter could be disposed of with such observation that the court would think appropriate.

2. I will make no intervention and dismiss the appeal.

FEBRUARY 08, 2016

Rajeev

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**