

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.3953 of 2016
Date of Decision: February 27, 2016

Sodan Singh and othersPetitioners
versus
State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI.

Present: Mr.D.S.Matya, Advocate, for the petitioners.

-. -

1. *Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The petitioners have been served with notices like dated 10.02.2016 (P-1) by the Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Sohna, District Gurgaon calling upon them to show cause as to why they be not dispossessed from 100 square yards plots which they have illegally occupied even when the Gram Panchayat has allotted those plots to the persons of Backward Class community (Nai).

In our considered view, if the petitioners have any legally vested right to retain possession of the subject-plots, they may submit their replies to the show-cause notices alongwith such proof(s) to the B.D.P.O., Sohana, which may be

considered by the B.D.P.O. in accordance with law.

The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

Dasti.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

February 27, 2016
mohinder

[P.B.BAJANTHRI]
JUDGE