

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 3826 of 2016
Date of decision: 26.02.2016

Harinder Singh

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Punjab School Education Board and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr. D.S. Rawat, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks the relief of stepping up of the pay as a Senior General Category Employee, Seniority No. 55A. The parity is sought with Sh. Jagir Singh S/o Sh. Bakhtavar Singh (Junior Scheduled Caste Category Employee, Seniority No. 68).

The petitioner alleges that he was serving with the respondent-Board and is entitled for the stepping up of the pay in accordance with the judgments of this Court in *CWP No. 5956 of 2008, Charan Dass vs. State of Haryana* decided on 18.11.2008; *CWP No. 17280 of 2011, Prem Kumar Verma and others vs. State of Haryana* decided on 07.08.2012 and *CWP No. 18307 of 2011, Gurmeet Singh and another vs. Punjab School Education Board and others* decided on 06.12.2012.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that a legal notice dated 07.10.2015 (Annexure P-5) has already been served upon respondent no. 1 for the necessary relief but no action has been taken.

Counsel submits that he would be satisfied if a direction is

issued to the respondents to take a decision on the said legal notice within a time bound frame.

Accordingly, without commenting on the merits of the case and in view of the fact that the legal notice is still pending consideration, this Court does not feel necessary to call upon the respondents to file reply.

Accordingly, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to competent authority of the respondents to take into consideration the legal notice dated 07.10.2015 (Annexure P-5) and decide the same within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. In case the representation is decided in favour of the petitioner, the amounts due be paid within a period of 2 months thereafter. Needless to say that in case the relief is to be denied, the order should contain reasons.

26.02.2016
shivani

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE