

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh.

**CWP No. 3811 of 2016 (O&M)
Decided on 08.4.2016**

Dev Dutt

--Petitioner

Vs.

UHBVN Limited and others

--Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr. Parduman Yadav, Advocate, for the petitioner

Mr.Vijay Kumar,Advocate, for the respondents

Rakesh Kumar Jain,J: (Oral)

This petition is filed for seeking a direction to the respondents to reconnect/restore the electric connection of '*Atta Chakki*' of the petitioner.

After notice, the respondents have filed reply in which it is averred that as per Sales Manual, 2013 connection cannot be given to the joint family in the name of more than one person. The respondents have averred that the petitioner has to submit a 'no objection certificate' of all the members of the joint family on non-judicial stamp paper worth ₹15/- and since it has not been supplied, therefore, reconnection cannot be ordered.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the property in question is exclusively owned by him in view of partition between the members of the family but the said partition order is not on

record.

Instead of keeping this case pending, this petition can be disposed of with an observation that if the petitioner submits the evidence to the respondents about his ownership of the property for the purpose of electric connection, may be in the shape of an order of partition, the same shall be considered by the respondents taking a decision thereon.

Consequently, this petition is disposed of with a direction that in case such an application is filed by the petitioner along with the evidence showing the exclusive ownership of the property in dispute to the respondents for the purpose of electric connection, the same shall be considered and decided by them within a week thereafter by passing a speaking order.

08.4.2016
rr

(Rakesh Kumar Jain)
Judge